

**2011 New Jersey Envirothon  
Judge's Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations**

Scale for Scoring: 0 = not at all  
1 = poor or poorly  
2 = fair or slightly well

3 = good to fairly well  
4 = excellent or very well  
5 = outstanding

Team number \_\_\_\_\_

Judges Initials \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Part I– Preparation and Presentation of Plan (20 Points Maximum)</b>
---

**How well did the presentation address or identify:**

1. All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?	0	1	2	3	4	5
2. The major natural resource areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?	0	1	2	3	4	5
3. The Current Issue – <i>Salt and Fresh Water Estuaries</i>	0	1	2	3	4	5
4. The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?	0	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Part I– Subtotal</b> _____
-------------------------------

<b>Part II – Application (40 Points Maximum)</b>
--

1. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).	0	1	2	3	4	5
2. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of the Ecological/environmental issues related to the problem.	0	1	2	3	4	5
3. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issues related to the problem.	0	1	2	3	4	5
4. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of the social and/or cultural issues related to the problem.	0	1	2	3	4	5
5. The team presented <b>at least one</b> viable solution to the problem	0	1	2	3	4	5
6. The main parts were clearly stated and supported	0	1	2	3	4	5
7. The solution presented has potential to be implemented with Long-term sustainability.	0	1	2	3	4	5
8. The solution reflects the concerns of all affected groups.	0	1	2	3	4	5

<b>Part II Subtotal</b> _____
-------------------------------

**Part III Quality of Presentation (20 points Maximum)**

1. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion	0	1	2	3	4	5
2. Participants enhanced the presentation. (eye contact gestures, voice inflection, originality, professionalism etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	5
3. Participants made good use of materials provided (Flip Chart & Markers)	0	1	2	3	4	5
4. Questions were answered logically and concisely.	0	1	2	3	4	5

**Part III Subtotal** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part IV Required Elements (20 points Maximum)**

- 1. Add up to ten points if all five team members participated in the presentation (Each team member contributes up to 2 points for participating) \_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Add up to five points if presentation was accomplished in the allotted time scale and the team made effective use of their time (time scale is 9 – 10 minutes) \_\_\_\_\_
- 3. Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan \_\_\_\_\_

**Part IV Subtotal** \_\_\_\_\_

**Final Score**

- \_\_\_\_\_ Total Points For Part I (20 Maximum)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Total Points For Part II (40 Maximum)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Total Points For Part III (20 Maximum)
- \_\_\_\_\_ Total Points For Part IV (20 Maximum)
- \_\_\_\_\_ **Total Score (out of a possible 100 points)**